# 21644665

# Virginia State Corporation Commission eFiling CASE Document Cover Sheet

Case Number (if already assigned)

PUR-2020-00169

Case Name (if known)

Petition of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval of a rate adjustment clause, designated Rider RGGI, under § 56-585.1 A 5 e of the Code of Virginia

**Document Type** 

RETE

**Document Description Summary** 

corrected page 5 of Company Witness George E.

Hitch

**Total Number of Pages** 

3

**Submission ID** 

21605

eFiling Date Stamp

4/27/2021 12:52:04PM

McGuireWoods LLP Gateway Plaza 800 East Canal Street Richmond, VA 23219-3916 Phone: 804.775.1000 Fax: 804.775.1061 www.mcguirewoods.com





## ERRATA FILING

April 27, 2021

# BY ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Mr. Bernard Logan, Clerk State Corporation Commission Document Control Center Tyler Building, First Floor 1300 E. Main Street Richmond, VA 23219

Petition of Virginia Electric and Power Company, For approval of a rate adjustment clause, designated Rider RGGI, under § 56-585.1 A 5 e of the Code of Virginia Case No. PUR-2020-00169

Dear Mr. Logan:

The Company has identified a slight error in the actual carbon dioxide emissions shown in Rebuttal Table 1 on page 5 of the pre-filed rebuttal testimony of Company Witness George E. Hitch. Accordingly, please find enclosed for electronic filing in the above-referenced matter a corrected page 5, which provides a revised version of Rebuttal Table 1. This corrected page is intended to replace the version filed on April 13, 2021.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions in regard to this filing.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Elaine S. Ryan

Elaine S. Ryan

# Enclosure

cc: Paul E. Pfeffer, Esq. David J. DePippo, Esq. Joseph K. Reid, III, Esq. Sarah R. Bennett, Esq. Dan Bumpus, Esq. Service List

(thousands of short tons CO 2)	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	<u>Apr-21</u>	May-21	Jun-21	<u>Jul-21</u>	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21
Forecast Short Tons CO <sub>2</sub>	1,295	1,402	1,595	1,210	1,353	1,435	2,057	2,148	1,625	946	1,259	1,619
Actual Short Tons CO <sub>2</sub>	1,528	2,004	1,619		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<del>- 100 - 100</del>		. <del> </del>		197(12-144 <sup>2</sup> 47 <sup>2</sup> 11 1241
Monthly Deviation	233	602	24				4			***************************************		
Total Deviation	233	835	859									
Cumulative Requirement	1,528	3,532	5,151	6,361	7,714	9,149	11,206	13,354	14,979	15,925	17,184	18,803
Inventory	1,300	1,300	1,300	5,375	5,375	5,375	10,375	10,375	10,375	15,375	15,375	15,375
Auction Purchases	0	0	4,075	0	0	4,250	0	0	4,250	0	0	4,250
Bilateral Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	750	0	0	0	0	0	0
Planned Purchases	Q	Q	<u>Q</u>	Q	Q	<u>0</u>	Q	Q	<u>750</u>	Q	<u>0</u>	750
Net Position	(228)	(2,232)	224	(986)	(2,339)	1,226	(831)	(2,979)	396	(550)	(1,809)	1,572

Notes: 1) CO <sub>2</sub> emissions volumes are actuals through March 2021, and forecasts for the balance of the calendar year. 2) Actual CO <sub>2</sub> emissions volumes in this Table include all emissions from VCHEC; actual emissions from VCHEC will be revised to exclude CO <sub>2</sub> emissions attributable to biomass once a calculation methodology is finalized with DEQ. 3) If Rider RGGI is approved, these actual volumes will be accounted for through the true-up in next year's Rider RGGI.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- The top half of Rebuttal Table 1 shows forecasted versus actual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. As can be seen, actual emissions can vary significantly from forecasts. As I explained, actual emissions result from how PJM dispatches generators in the region. The bottom half of Rebuttal Table 1 shows the Company's allowance requirement (one allowance per short ton of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted) against the Company's actual and forecasted inventory of allowances. As can be seen, the Company has a negative net allowance position in most months. The Company has not "purchased huge amounts of allowances" and is not carrying "a significant surplus," as Mr. Rábago suggests.
- Q. APV Witness Rábago also provides more general criticism of the Company's plan to
  meet its obligations under RGGI primarily through the quarterly auctions. Does
  the size of the Company's compliance requirement factor in to the Company's
  strategy?
- 13 A. Yes, it does. The Company determined its strategy based on the forecasted volume of

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 27<sup>th</sup> day of April 2021, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing filed in Case No. PUR-2020-00169 was hand delivered, electronically mailed, and/or mailed first class postage pre-paid to the following:

Frederick D. Ochsenhirt, Esq. Arlen Bolstad, Esq. Office of General Counsel State Corporation Commission 1300 E. Main Street, Tyler Bldg., 10<sup>th</sup> Fl. Richmond, VA 23219

Louis R. Monacell, Esq. S. Perry Coburn, Esq. Christian & Barton, L.L.P. 909 East Main Street, Suite 1200 Richmond, VA 23219-3095

Bobbi Jo Alexis, Esq. Culpeper County Attorney 306 N. Main Street Culpeper, VA 22701 C. Meade Browder, Jr., Esq. C. Mitch Burton, Jr., Esq. Office of the Attorney General Division of Consumer Counsel 202 N 9<sup>th</sup> Street, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor Richmond, VA 23219

Nate Benforado, Esq. William Cleveland, Esq. Southern Environmental Law Center 201 West Main Street, Suite 14 Charlottesville, VA 22902-5065

/s/ Elaine S. Ryan