COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

AT RICHMOND, JULY 2, 2015

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, ex rel.

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

v.

CASE NO. INS-2015-00056

SCO-DI TAN'S OFFICE COUNTIN CONTROL CENTER

2315 JUL - 2 P 2:18

AUTO-OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY and OWNERS INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendants

SETTLEMENT ORDER

Based on a market conduct examination performed by the Bureau of Insurance ("Bureau"), it is alleged that Auto-Owners Insurance Company and Owners Insurance Company (collectively, "Defendants"), duly licensed by the State Corporation Commission ("Commission") to transact the business of insurance in the Commonwealth of Virginia ("Commonwealth"), violated: §§ 38.2-231 A, 38.2-231 J, 38.2-2113 C, 38.2-2114 A, 38.2-2114 C, 38.2-2114 E, 38.2-2208 B, 38.2-2212 D, 38.2-2212 E, and 38.2-2212 F of the Code of Virginia ("Code") by failing to properly terminate insurance policies; § 38.2-305 A of the Code by failing to provide the information required by statute in the insurance policy; §§ 38.2-305 B, 38.2-604.1, 38.2-2118, 38.2-2120, 38.2-2126 A, 38.2-2210 A, and 38.2-2234 A of the Code by failing to accurately provide the required notices to insureds; § 38.2-317 A of the Code by issuing insurance policies or endorsements without having filed such policies or endorsements with the Commission at least 30 days prior to their effective date; § 38.2-502 of the Code by misrepresenting the benefits, advantages, conditions or terms of insurance policies; §§ 38.2-510 A (1), 38.2-510 A (3), and 38.2-510 A (10) of the Code, as well as 14 VAC 5-400-30, 14 VAC 5-400-40 A, 14 VAC 5-400-50 C, 14 VAC 5-400-60 B,

14 VAC 5-400-70 A, 14 VAC 5-400-70 D, and 14 VAC 5-400-80 D of the Commission's Rules Governing Unfair Claim Settlement Practices, 14 VAC 5-400-10 et seq., by failing to properly handle claims with such frequency as to indicate a general business practice; § 38.2-511 of the Code by failing to maintain a complete complaint register; § 38.2-610 A of the Code by failing to provide adverse underwriting decision notices as required; § 38.2-1318 of the Code by failing to provide convenient access to files, documents and records; §§ 38.2-1812 and 38.2-1833 of the Code by paying commissions to agencies/agents not appointed by the Defendants; § 38.2-1822 of the Code by knowingly permitting persons to act as agents without first obtaining a license in the manner and form prescribed by the Commission; § 38.2-1905 C of the Code by assigning points under safe-driver insurance policies to a vehicle other than the vehicle customarily driven by the operator responsible for incurring points; §§ 38.2-1906 A and 38.2-1906 D of the Code by making or issuing insurance contracts or policies not in accordance with the rate and supplementary rate information filings in effect for the Defendants; § 38.2-2119 of the Code by failing to include the proper conditions for replacement cost in its forms; and § 38.2-2220 of the Code by failing to use forms in the precise language of standard forms previously filed and adopted by the Commission.

The Commission is authorized by §§ 38.2-218, 38.2-219, and 38.2-1040 of the Code to impose certain monetary penalties, issue cease and desist orders, and suspend or revoke a defendant's license upon a finding by the Commission, after notice and opportunity to be heard, that a defendant has committed the aforesaid alleged violations.

The Defendants have been advised of their right to a hearing in this matter whereupon the Defendants, without admitting any violation of Virginia law, have made an offer of settlement to the Commission wherein the Defendants have tendered to the Commonwealth the sum of

2

Eighty-four Thousand Dollars (\$84,000), waived their right to a hearing, agreed to comply with the corrective action plan set forth in their correspondence to the Bureau dated March 5, 2015, and March 16, 2015, and confirmed that restitution was made to 106 consumers in the amount of Thirty Thousand Two Hundred Seventeen Dollars and Seven Cents (\$30,217.07).

The Bureau has recommended that the Commission accept the offer of settlement of the Defendants pursuant to the authority granted the Commission in § 12.1-15 of the Code.

NOW THE COMMISSION, having considered the record herein, the offer of settlement of the Defendants, and the recommendation of the Bureau, is of the opinion that the Defendants' offer should be accepted.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

(1) The offer of the Defendants in settlement of the matter set forth herein is hereby accepted.

(2) This case is dismissed, and the papers herein shall be placed in the file for ended causes.

AN ATTESTED COPY hereof shall be sent by the Clerk of the Commission to: Frank Bayless, Esquire, Home Office Legal Division, Auto-Owners Companies, 6101 Anacapri Boulevard, Lansing, Michigan 48917; and a copy shall be delivered to the Commission's Office of General Counsel and the Bureau of Insurance in care of Deputy Commissioner Mary M. Bannister.